Nickel tungstate nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization and electrochemical sensing of mercury(II) ions

Hanumantharayappa Eranjaneya¹ · Prashanth Shivappa Adarakatti² · Ashoka Siddaramanna³ · Chandrappa Gujjarahalli Thimmanna¹

Received: 27 September 2018 / Accepted: 24 December 2018 / Published online: 29 January 2019
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Nano particulate metal oxides gained significant research interest in recent years for various applications with the intension of exploring enhanced properties of miniaturization. In this research work, nickel tungstate nanoparticles (NiWO₄ nanoparticles) were successfully synthesized via a simple and efficient sucrose-nitrate decomposition method. The synthesized nanoparticles were characterized using various analytical techniques such as PXRD, SEM, TEM, BET measurements and FTIR. Transmission electron microscope images reveals the nearly spherical shaped nanoparticles of average particle size 15–35 nm. Photoluminescence characteristics of synthesized NiWO₄ nanoparticles were investigated at room temperature. Further, the prepared nanoparticles were utilized as glassy carbon electrode modifier for trace level electrochemical sensing of toxic mercury present in water samples. The electrochemical behavior of mercury(II) ions at modified electrode interface has been studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse stripping voltammetry (DPSV). The results illustrate that, the proposed modified GCE sensor exhibits linearity between the concentration range 10–600 nM with the limit of detection 2.25 nM based on 3 σ method for mercury(II) ions.

1 Introduction

Divalent metal tungstate nanoparticles with variety of shapes have gained much attention in the field of electronics, optics, sensors, catalysis, magnetism, luminescence, drug delivery and electroanalysis [1–3]. Many of the divalent metal tungstates have also gained commercial interest in lasers and fluorescent lamps [4, 5]. Various novel and fascinating physico-chemical properties of these materials depends not

only on degree of crystallinity but also on size and shape of nanoparticles [6]. Divalent metal tungstates of the form AWO₄ are mostly crystallizes in either scheelite or wolframite structures depending on the size of A²⁺ ion. Among various divalent metal tungstates NiWO₄ with wolframite like structure have attracted much attention due its long lasting structural stability and more importantly its electrochromic properties [7]. Also, nickel tungstate have narrow band gap and thus it has been extensively studied for visible light assisted photocatalytic applications [8–10].

Over the past few years, the development of efficient sensor with high sensitivity and low detection limit for the detection of heavy metal ions is of vital important. The discharge of heavy metal ions into the natural water bodies is increasing notably by industrial process such as electroplating, paper mills and metallurgical processes. Since these heavy metal ions are non-biodegradable in nature, presence of which in trace amounts is a serious health threat to human beings as well as aquatic life. Among heavy metals, mercury(II) is the most toxic metal which causes serious health disorders in human beings. Thus, as per the recommendation of world health organization (WHO), the threshold limit value in drinking water for mercury is 1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ [11]. To this end, the detection of

Hanumantharayappa Eranjaneya and Prashanth Shivappa Adarakatti have contributed equally.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-018-00635-9>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Chandrappa Gujjarahalli Thimmanna
gtchandrappa@yahoo.co.in

¹ Department of Chemistry, Bangalore University, Bengaluru, India

² P. G. Department of Chemistry, KLE's P. C. Jabin Science College, Vidyanagar, Hubballi, India

³ Department of Chemistry, Dayananda Sagar University, Kudlu Gate, Bengaluru, India

mercury in trace level is one of the prime requirements in the field of analytical sciences. Mercury ions can be detected by several advanced analytical techniques such as, X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XFS), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry, atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. However, these methods require advanced instrumentation and the process is expensive. In contrary to the above analytical techniques, electrochemical sensing enables the low cost detection of heavy metals and it requires simple instrumentation [12, 13]. In electrochemical sensing, the extent of sensitivity and the range of selectivity depends on the electrode modifier used [14, 15]. Thus, to improve the efficiency of electrode, a suitable electrode modifier is required. The electrode modifier should have enhanced electron-transfer kinetics and strong adsorption capability towards respective heavy metal ions [16]. Recent reports prove that metal oxide nanoparticles can act as good electrode modifier for the detection of heavy metal ions due to their enhanced properties as a result of miniaturization [17–21]. Metal oxides with nano-sized particles will be an added advantage for sensing applications compared to their bulk counterparts owing to their enhanced surface area and catalytically active sites. Further, semiconductors with low band gap are potential candidates for sensing applications as sensitivity of the electrodes mainly depends on electron-transfer kinetics. For instance, Sivakumar et al. has been used hydrothermally synthesized NiWO_4 crystals as electrode modifier for non-enzymatic glucose sensing [22]. In this regard, semiconductor NiWO_4 with narrow band gap of 2.2 eV and nano sized particles can be utilized as an electrode modifier to enhance electron-transfer kinetics and strong adsorption capability towards Hg(II) sensing.

Several methods have been developed to prepare phase pure NiWO_4 such as, high temperature solid state synthesis [23, 24], co-precipitation of soluble salts in aqueous solutions [25], microwave assisted synthesis [26], microemulsion method [9], sonochemical [27] and hydrothermal method [22]. However, the reported synthetic routes are associated with many disadvantages like multistep process, long reaction time and high calcination temperatures. To this end, the development of novel synthetic method, which enables the rapid and efficient synthesis of metal oxides at nano-scale regime plays an important role. Among many techniques for the synthesis of nanoparticles through wet chemical route, combustion synthesis has several advantages (SCS) [28]. It is an effective method for the synthesis of nanoscale materials and has been used by our group for the production of various mixed metal oxides for a variety of advanced applications [29–32]. For this reason, we employed SCS method with the assistance of sucrose as fuel for the synthesis of NiWO_4 nanoparticles.

In the present work, we propose a simple and cost effective solution combustion method for the synthesis of nano-size NiWO_4 . The synthesized nanoparticles were characterized by various analytical techniques. Further, NiWO_4 nanoparticles were successfully employed as electrode modifier on glassy carbon electrode (GCE). Finally, the performance of the modified GCE was evaluated for detection of mercury ions in acetate buffer solution using differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry (DPASV).

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and methods

Analytical-grade sucrose, tungsten metal powder (fine powder 99+), hydrogen peroxide were obtained from MERCK limited, and nickel nitrate hexa-hydrate was obtained from S D Fine-Chem Limited. Acetic acid, sodium acetate and sodium hydroxide were purchased from SD fine Chemicals, Mumbai, India. Solutions of known pH in the range pH 1–12 were prepared using deionised water from MilliQ water purifier (Millipore, USA) with a resistivity of not less than $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}$ at 25°C . Stock solution of 1 mM of mercury was prepared using AR grade mercuric bromide in Teflon volumetric flasks and stored in refrigerator. Working standards were prepared by diluting appropriate aliquots of stock solution on the day of use. Double distilled water was used in all other reagent preparations.

2.2 Synthesis of NiWO_4 nanoparticles

Tungsten metal powder (0.2 g) was dissolved in 5 mL hydrogen peroxide solution (35%) by heating slightly on a hot plate to obtain per-oxotungstic acid. In another beaker, 0.3163 g $\text{Ni(NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 0.37 g sucrose were dissolved in 3 mL deionized water. Both tungsten and nickel precursor solutions were mixed together and stirred for 5 min to obtain homogeneous solution. The precursor mixture was concentrated by heating on hotplate to remove excess solvent. Finally, the beaker containing homogeneous sol was placed in a muffle furnace which was pre-heated at 500°C . The sol expands to form froth then it got ignited to burn with mild sparks giving voluminous powder.

2.3 Physicochemical characterization

Formation of phase pure product was confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) technique, where the PXRD patterns were recorded from PANalytical X'pert PRO MPD instrument with $\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation source of wavelength $1.541 \text{ \AA}$. Surface morphologies of as obtained powder was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, VEGA3

TESCAN) and semi-quantitative elemental analyses were performed by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in SEM chamber. High resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were taken on a JEOL JEM-2100 instrument. Quanta Chrome Nova-1000 surface analyzer instrument was used for surface characterizations. UV–visible diffused reflectance spectrum was recorded on a Shimadzu 3101 UV–visible spectrophotometer. Fourier transform infrared spectrum (FTIR) was recorded using Bruker Alpha-P spectrometer (ATR mode, diamond crystal, 400–4000 cm^{-1}). The electrochemical data was collected from Biologica SP-150 electrochemical workstation using CV, DPSV and impedance spectroscopic (EIS) techniques. A three electrode electrochemical cell with calomel, platinum wire and glassy carbon (modified and unmodified) served as reference, auxiliary, and working electrodes respectively.

2.4 Electrode modification

Glassy carbon electrode was used as electrode substrate which is having 3 mm diameter. Before the modification, the electrode surface was smoothened by different size alumina slurry such as 1, 0.3 and 0.05 μm to obtain a smooth shiny surface. The electrode was washed carefully with ethanol and distilled water in a ultrasonic bath and finally dried at ambient temperature. Then the modified electrode was constructed by drop casting method by placing 10 μL of aqueous colloidal suspension containing NiWO_4 nanoparticles (1 mg/mL) and it was dried at room temperature.

2.5 Analytical procedure of electrochemical sensing

The quantity of mercury(II) ions were measured using differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry (DPASV). The voltammetry data was collected over the potential range – 0.4 to 0.4 V with amplitude of 0.01 V and a pulse width of 0.05 s. Specific quantity of mercury(II) solution was taken in an electrochemical cell of 10 mL containing pH 8 buffer solution which is fitted with a magnetic stirrer. The modified glassy carbon electrode was immersed in the electrolyte solution of mercury ions and then stirred for 5 min to pre-concentrate the metal ions near the interface.

The electrode was taken away from the electrochemical cell and then washed with doubly distilled water. Then the electrode was placed in another electrochemical cell which contains 0.1M HCl and a reduction potential of – 0.4 V was applied for about 300 s to reduce all mercury ions into its atomic state and then stripped off from the surface of the electrode into bulk of solution by scanning the potential in the positive direction after 30 s of equilibration time.

For the sake of real sample analysis, the samples were collected from tap supply, polluted lake, treated industrial

effluents, chrome plating and from textile industry. The collected analytes were filtered using Whatman filter paper to remove colloidal matter. Then known amount of filtered samples were added into electrochemical cell where, the electrolytic pH was maintained at 8 using phosphate buffer solution and analyzed by the procedure described as above.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Crystal structure, morphology and surface characteristics of NiWO_4 nanoparticles

Powder X-ray diffraction was used to investigate the phase structure and crystallinity of as-prepared NiWO_4 and the resulting PXRD pattern is presented in Fig. 1. It is clear that all diffraction peaks could be indexed with monoclinic phase of NiWO_4 and the pattern agree well with the ICDD PDF No. 72-1189. Unit cell parameters corresponding to indexed monoclinic phase were found to be $a=4.6000$, $b=5.6600$ and $c=4.9100$ Å and $\beta=90.01^\circ$ with space group P2/c (no. 13).

The SEM images of as synthesized nanoparticles are presented in Fig. 2(a–d), which were taken at different magnifications. The images exhibit some interesting morphology with fine particulate fluffy material. From the image taken at higher magnification (Fig. 2d) one can trace nanoparticles with different sizes; however it is difficult to measure the exact particle size. Semi-quantitative elemental analysis was performed on selected area by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy technique in SEM chamber, which confirms the presence of Ni, W and O elements. Table S1 summarizes the weight and atomic ratio of elements present in the

Fig. 1 The PXRD pattern of NiWO_4 nanoparticles

Fig. 2 SEM images of NiWO₄ nanoparticles

sample and the EDS spectra is given in fig. S1 in supplementary data.

Detailed morphological study has been carried out by transmission electron microscope analyses, Fig. 3(a–b) shows the TEM images of NiWO₄ nanoparticles which exhibits well dispersed nearly spherical shaped nanoparticles. From TEM images the average particle size distribution has been calculated using image-J software by counting approximately 25 particles and is found to be in the range 15–35 nm. The crystallinity of nanoparticles was tested by high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) analyses and presented in Fig. 3c. It confirms the single crystalline nature of the NiWO₄ nanoparticles and the lattice spacing 0.56 nm correspond to inter planar distance of (010) crystalline planes of monoclinic phase. Electron diffraction pattern was collected by targeting a single particle and the results are presented in Fig. 3d, where some of the bright spots were indexed with (011), (111), (200) and (121) crystalline planes based on the distance between the selected spot and transmitted spot.

In order to explore the surface characteristics such as specific surface area, pore volume, pore size and nature of porosity, nitrogen (at 77 K) adsorption–desorption experiments were performed on the surface of NiWO₄ nanoparticles. The adsorption–desorption isotherms have been constructed from the experimental data and presented in Fig. 4a. The sample exhibits a type IV isotherm indicating

mesoporous nature and H1 type hysteresis loop. Specific surface area of the sample was calculated by BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) method from the adsorption data of nitrogen gas and is found to be ~ 16 m²/g. The pore size distribution curve is shown in Fig. 4b, which exhibits a predominant peak at ~ 15 nm confirming the mesoporous nature. The values of pore diameter (14.706 nm) and pore volume (0.069 cc/g) were calculated by BJH (Barrett–Joyner–Halenda) method by using nitrogen desorption data [33].

3.2 FTIR spectra and photoluminescence results

The FTIR spectrum of as synthesized NiWO₄ nanoparticles is shown in Fig. 5a, which further confirms the formation of pure phase. The bands appeared at 863 and 654 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to the W–O bond stretching and O–W–O vibrational modes respectively [8]. Further the band observed at 525 cm⁻¹ is due to the stretching vibrations of NiO₆ polyhedra in the crystal structure of NiWO₄ [34]. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra have been recorded at room temperature to characterize the optical properties of NiWO₄ nanoparticles. The PL excitation spectra (Fig. 5b) shows an intense peak at 430 nm which can be attributed to the charge transfer transition from *p*-orbital of oxygen atom to the *d*-orbital of W atom in WO₆ octahedral units. The emission spectra (Fig. 5c) shows a broad peak centered at 538 nm.

Fig. 3 TEM images (a–b), HRTEM image (c) and SAED pattern (d) of NiWO_4 NPs

Fig. 4 Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms (a), and the corresponding pore-size distribution curve (b) of NiWO_4 nanoparticles

3.3 Electrochemical behavior of modified electrode in presence of Hg(II) ions

The electrochemical response of NiWO_4 nanoparticles modified interface in presence of mercury was first examined using cyclic voltammetry in order to understand the potential affinity of the modifier material towards metal ions in solution. The typical cyclic voltammetric response in presence

and in absence of mercury at NiWO_4 nanoparticles modified interface in the potential window from -0.4 to 0.4 V is shown in Fig. 6. The modified interface did not show any peaks in the absence of mercury in the potential window used. In presence of metal ions, a voltammetric peak was observed during cathodic sweep at 0.1 V which can be ascribed to the two electron reduction process of Hg^{2+} to Hg^0 , whereas in the reversal anodic sweep an intense

Fig. 5 **a** FTIR spectra, **b** Photoluminescence excitation, and **c** Photoluminescence emission spectra of NiWO₄ nanoparticles

Fig. 6 Overlaid cyclic voltammograms recorded in 0.1 M HCl containing 600 nM of Hg(II) and preconcentration time 5 min. Modified electrode in (a) presence and (c) absence (b) bare electrode in presence of metal ion

peak at 0.17 V was observed due to the oxidation (stripping) of Hg⁰ to Hg⁺. Such voltammetric response was not observed at unmodified interface. These studies reveal that the observed response for mercuric ion at modified interface is due to the presence of NiWO₄ nanoparticles. Hence, the improved interaction of NiWO₄ nanoparticles with mercury is expected to be due the presence of abundant electroactive sites on NiWO₄ nanoparticles. Therefore, modified interface can be used as a sensitive and selective sensing platform for the quantification of mercury at trace level.

3.4 Sensing mechanism

Initially, the Hg(II) ions adsorb on the NiWO₄ nanoparticles modified electrode at open circuit potential.

Then, the adsorbed Hg(II) reduced to Hg(0) under the applied potential on the electrode surface

Finally, the reduced Hg(0) is re-oxidized to Hg(II) during stripping into the bulk of the electrolytic solution.

3.5 Optimization study

In order to enhance the measurement sensitivity, the parameters influencing the analytical signal were optimized to achieve the required sensitivity. The medium pH, deposition potential and deposition time were optimized and used in the recommended procedure.

Initially, the surface modification of glassy carbon electrode was carried out by varying with different volumes of NiWO₄ nanoparticles dispersed in aqueous medium through drop coating method. Different volumes of dispersing medium were used in the range 0–25 μL for drop casting on the glassy carbon electrode surface. Among all, 10 μL nanoparticles suspension drop coated electrode gave a better

analytical signal response (Fig. 7a). The better response at 10 μL suspension is may be due to large active surface area/sites. Thus, the 10 μL volume of nanoparticles suspension was used for all further studies.

3.6 Effect of pH

The effect of medium pH (Fig. 7b) was examined in the pH range 3–12. It illustrates the anodic peak current in presence of 1 μM Hg²⁺ at different pH values of medium. The peak current increases with increase in the medium pH from 3 to 7 and almost remains constant between 7 and 10. However, the peak current decreases beyond pH 10. As we know that, with increase in pH, the Hg(II) precipitates into its hydroxide and thereby the accumulation of Hg(II) on the electrode surface decreases which in turn decreases anodic peak current. This shows that the pH 7 will be optimum to get better response to wards Hg(II). Phosphate buffer was used to achieve the required pH.

Fig. 7 **a** Effect of modifier volume, **b** Effect of pH, **c** Effect of preconcentration time and **d** Effect of reduction potential on the anodic peak current observed for 1 μM concentration of Hg²⁺ ion, preconcentration time 300 s, supporting electrolyte 0.1 M HCl

3.7 Effect of preconcentration time

Pre-concentration time is the time given for the Hg(II) to accumulate on the electrode surface and thereby plays very important role in achieving less sensing time with high sensitivity and selectivity. In the present study, effect of pre-concentration time towards sensing of Hg(II) was studied from 1 min to 9 min (Fig. 7c) wherein the observed results indicate that the anodic peak current increases with increase in time up to 5 min and then starts decreasing slightly. This is because longer the pre-concentration time more and more Hg(II) gets accumulated on the electrode surface and thus maximum anodic peak current achieved (up to 5 min). However, with further increase in pre-concentration time leads to the surface saturation and thereby active sites on the electrode surface are not available which decreases the anodic peak current. Therefore, pre-concentration time of 5 min has been used as an optimized time for further studies.

3.8 Effect of reduction potential

The effect of deposition potential on anodic peak current of Hg(II) was investigated by varying the potential in the range -0.1 to -1.1 V. It is observed from the figure that (Fig. 7d) the anodic peak current increases up to -0.4 V and thereafter decreases. Therefore, potential of -0.4 V was used as an optimum potential for the deposition of Hg(II) on the electrode surface.

Thus, 10 μ L volume of nanoparticles suspension, pH 7, pre-concentration time of 5 min and deposition potential of -0.4 V has been used as an optimum condition to generate calibration graph for Hg(II) sensing.

3.9 Calibration plot

Calibration plot was constructed by measuring the peak currents after the addition of mercury ions (at each interval) into an electrochemical cell containing phosphate buffer (pH 8) under optimized conditions. The modified electrode exhibits linearity in the concentration window 10–600 nM. The correlation equations obtained were $I_{\mu A} = 0.9305 + 0.0177$ [Hg(II)] (nM) with a correlation coefficient of 0.978. The peak current for the stripping of mercury ions was found to be increase linearly with increase in mercury concentration up to 600 nM and the detection limit (3σ) was found to be 2.25 nM (Fig. 8 a and b).

3.10 Repeatability and reproducibility of the modified electrode

The proposed interface has been examined for its reproducibility and repeatability in mercury quantification. Five different glassy carbon electrodes were chemically modified by drop casting method and used for mercury quantification at 300 nM concentration level under optimized conditions. The peak currents of all these electrodes were well within $\pm 5\%$ deviation indicating that the proposed interface processes has good reproducibility. Long term storage stability is essential feature for industrial applications. The long term storage stability of the NiWO₄ nanoparticles modified electrode was studied over a period of 3 months by using single electrode at ambient temperature and optimized conditions. The measurements were carried out with successive intervals of 1 months. Figure 9 reveals that the analytical response of the modified electrode from the day of experiment to after 3 months has showed significant analytical

Fig. 8 **a** Overlaid differential pulse anodic stripping voltammograms and **b** Calibration plot with increasing addition of mercury ion (10–600 nM) under optimized conditions

Fig. 9 Stability of the proposed sensor electrode over a period of three months

Table 1 Interference study

Interfering ions	Tolerance limit (μM)
Ag^+ , Fe^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+}	220
Na^+ , K^+ , Cs^+	530
Co^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Be^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , As^{3+}	480
$\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{2-}$, CO_3^{2-} , Cl^- , F^- , I^- , SO_4^{2-} , SO_3^{2-} , NO_2^- , NO_3^-	350

curves towards mercury ions with minute loss in the oxidative peak current, which shows the stability of the modified electrode as well as stability of modifier material.

3.11 Interference study

To investigate the efficiency of modified electrode in terms of selectivity towards electrochemical detection of mercury(II) ions in the presence of other interfering anion or cationic species was examined at 100 nM mercury concentration. For the purpose of interference study, mercury ions were preconcentrated on NiWO_4 nanoparticles modified electrode in presence of various cations and anions in the electrolyte solution. Table 1 show the tolerance limits of various cations and anions. It is obvious that, above tolerance limit, the foreign metal ions interfere and affect the quantity of preconcentration of mercury(II) by competing with the modifier functionalities and thus alters the peak currents originated by the stripping of mercury from the electrode interface. Thus, the obtained results suggest that the fabricated sensor exhibits least interference of various common species mentioned in the table. Therefore, the

fabricated sensor has been successfully employed for the determination of mercury from a wide variety of environmental samples.

3.12 Application study

The analytical efficiency of NiWO_4 nanoparticles modified sensor was evaluated by measuring the mercury levels present in real samples collected from industrial effluent such as chrome plating, lead acid batteries and textiles. The percentage of recovery was determined by the addition of known concentration of mercury to the electrochemical cell along with the originally present mercury in these samples (Table 2). The percentage recovery of added mercury were found to be > 98% indicating that the modified glassy carbon electrode can be used at trace level mercury quantification under optimized conditions and compared with other existing sensors (Table 2).

Further, the sensing performance of the NiWO_4 nanoparticles modified sensor has been compared with the existing reports from literature as shown in Table 3. It is evident from Table 3 that the proposed sensor could be utilized for the real sample analysis effectively over the existing sensors.

4 Conclusions

A novel one step solution combustion method involving sucrose-nitrate decomposition has been proposed for the synthesis of NiWO_4 nanoparticles with average particle size ranging from 15 to 35 nm. TEM and SEM images show the formation of well dispersed nearly spherical

Table 2 Application study

	Sample	Originally present mercury (nM)	Added mercury (nM)	Total mercury (nM)	Recover (%)
1	Tap water	ND	30	30.5	100.32
2	Industrial water	14.1	30	44	99.77
3	Lake water	22	30	51.9	99.80
4	Chrome plating industrial effluent	13.5	30	43.2	99.31
5	Textile industrial effluent	21	30	50.8	98.60

ND Not detected

Table 3 Comparison of proposed sensor with certain reported methods

Electrode	Modifier molecule	Technique	Linear range (nM)	Detection limit (nM) (LOD)	Ref.
GCE	Silica-SH	ASV	10–100	4.3	[35]
CPE	SAMMS-SH	ASV	100–8000	15	[36]
Au	Metalllothionein	DPV	150–300	80	[37]
Au	MPS	DPV	100–1000	100	[38]
GCE	Cu ₇ S ₄ -Au@S-MoS ₂	SWASV	360–3680	190	[39]
GCE	N-doped graphene	DPASV	70–900	50	[40]
CPE	Magnetic nickel zinc ferrite nanocomposite	SWASV	40–2005	08	[41]
SPE	Screen printed silver	LSV	500–4500	98	[42]
GCE	CeO ₂	DPASV	10–350	3.3	[43]
GCE	Ion imprinted polymeric nanobeads and MWCNT	DPASV	1.8–12.6	5.0	[44]
GCE	NiWO ₄ Nanoparticles	DPASV	10–600	2.25	Present work

shaped nanoparticles. Specific surface area of the sample was found to be $\sim 16 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ and it exhibits type IV isotherm indicating mesoporous nature. Room temperature PL emission spectra shows a broad peak centered at 538 nm. Further, the prepared NiWO₄ nanoparticles were employed as electrode modifier for sensing of mercury in water samples. The results indicate that, the proposed modified electrode exhibits enhanced sensitivity and wide linearity in the concentration range 10–600 nM with detection limit 2.25 nM for mercury.

Acknowledgements The author, Eranjaneya H, acknowledges the CSIR, New Delhi, India, for awarding CSIR-SRF fellowship and Sid-daramanna A, acknowledges the Science and Engineering Research Board (ECR/2017/000743) Government of India, for financial support.

References

1. T.-D. Nguyen, D. Mrabet, C.-T. T.-D. Vu, T.-O. Dinh, Do, Cryst-EngComm **13**, 1450 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0ce00091d>
2. Z. Nie, A. Petukhova, E. Kumacheva, Nat. Nanotechnol. **5**, 15 (2010)
3. W. Yunjian, L. Li, G. Li, Appl. Surf. Sci. **393**, 159–167 (2017)
4. S.M.M. Zawawi, R. Yahya, A. Hassan, H.N.M.E. Mahmud, M.N. Daud, Chem. Cent. J. **7**, 80 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-153x-7-80>
5. H. Eranjaneya, G.T. Chandrappa, Trans. Indian Ceram. Soc. **75**, 133–137 (2016)
6. W. Fan, M.A. Snyder, S. Kumar et al. (2008) Nat. Mater. **7**, 984. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2302> <https://www.nature.com/articles/nmat2302#supplementary-information>
7. M.M. Mohamed, S.A. Ahmed, K.S. Khairou (2014) Appl. Catal. B **150**, 63–73
8. R. Karthiga, B. Kavitha, M. Rajarajan, A. Suganthi, Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process. **40**, 123 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2015.05.037>
9. S.M. El-Sheikh, M.M. Rashad, J. Cluster Sci. **26**, 743 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10876-014-0735-z>
10. M.M.J. Sadiq, U.S. Shenoy, D.K. Bhat, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **109**, 124 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2017.05.023>
11. WH Organization (2011) World Health Organization, Geneva
12. A. Mirzaei, B. Hashemi, K. Janghorban, J. Mater. Sci. **27**, 3109 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-015-4200-z>
13. Q. Bao, Z. Yang, Y. Song et al. (2018) J. Mater. Sci. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-018-0447-5>
14. S.A. Prashanth, M. Pandurangappa, Mater. Lett. **185**, 476 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2016.09.010>
15. R.K. Upadhyay, S. Deshmukh, S. Saha, A. Barman, S.S. Roy, J. Mater. Sci. **26**, 7515 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-015-3387-3>

16. J. Li, L. Yan, H. Wang et al., *J. Mater. Sci.* **28**, 3067 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-016-5894-2>
17. V. Gangaiah, P. Adarakatti, A. Siddaramanna, P. Malingappa, G. Thimmanna Chandrappa, *Mater. Res. Express* **4**, 085039 (2017)
18. P.S. Adarakatti, M. Mahanthappa, E.H.A. Siddaramanna, *Electroanalysis* **30**, 1971–1982 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201800124>
19. A. Siddaramanna, P.S. Adarakatti, H. Eranjaneya, L. Shreenivasa, *Appl. Chem. Eng.* **2**, 1–11 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.24294/jpd.v2i1.124>
20. Z. Wu, L. Jiang, H. Chen, C. Xu, X. Wang, *J. Mater. Sci.* **23**, 858 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-011-0506-7>
21. S. Li, X. Gu, Y. Zhao, Y. Qiang, S. Zhang, *J. Mater. Sci.* **27**, 8455 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-016-4860-3>
22. S. Mani, V. Vedyappan, S.-M. Chen et al. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24128 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24128> <https://www.nature.com/articles/srep24128#supplementary-information>
23. L. Weber, U. Egli, *J. Mater. Sci.* **12**, 1981 (1977). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00561969>
24. K.T. Jacob, *J. Mater. Sci.* **12**, 1647 (1977). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00542815>
25. A. Sen, P. Pramanik, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **21**, 745 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2219\(00\)00265-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2219(00)00265-X)
26. J.H. Ryu, J.-W. Yoon, C.S. Lim, W.-C. Oh, K.B. Shim, *Ceram. Int.* **31**, 883 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2004.09.015>
27. R. Talebi, *J. Mater. Sci.* **27**, 3565 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-015-4192-8>
28. O. Thoda, G. Xanthopoulou, G. Vekinis, A. Chroneos, *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **20**, 1800047 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.201800047> Doi
29. H. Eranjaneya, P.S. Adarakatti, A. Siddaramanna, P. Malingappa, G.T. Chandrappa, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **86**, 85 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2018.06.020>
30. C. Choodamani, N. Gp, A. Siddaramanna, D. Prasad, B.R. Basavanna, G.T. Chandrappa, *J. Alloys Compd.* 103–109 (2013)
31. S. Anusha, B.S. Anandakumar, M. Chakrabhavi Dhananjaya et al. *RSC Adv.* **4**, 52181–52188 (2014)
32. H. Eranjaneya, G.T. Chandrappa, *J. Sol–Gel. Sci. Technol.* **85**, 585 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10971-017-4545-2>
33. N.J. Venkatesha, Y.S. Bhat, B.S. Jai Prakash, *Appl. Catal. A* **496**, 51 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2015.02.036>
34. M.M. Mohamed, S.A. Ahmed, K.S. Khairou, *Appl. Catal. B* **150**, 63–73 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2013.12.001>
35. I. Cesarino, É.T.G. Cavaleiro, *Electroanalysis* **20**, 2301 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.200804325>
36. W. Yantasee, Y. Lin, T.S. Zemanian, G.E. Fryxell, *Analyst* **128**, 467 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1039/b300467h>
37. H. Ju, D. Leech, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **484**, 150 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0728\(00\)00071-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0728(00)00071-1)
38. A. Walcarius, C. Delacôte, *Anal. Chim. Acta* **547**, 3 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2004.11.047>
39. J. Cui, S. Xu, L. Wang, *Sci. China Mater.* **60**, 352 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40843-017-9019-4>
40. H. Xing, J. Xu, X. Zhu et al., *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **760**, 52 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2015.11.043>
41. A. Afkhami, S. Sayari, F. Soltani-Felehgari, T. Madrakian, *J. Iran. Chem. Soc.* **12**, 257 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13738-014-0480-0>
42. M.-H. Chiu, J.-M. Zen, A.S. Kumar, D. Vasu, Y. Shih, *Electroanalysis* **20**, 2265 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.200804307> Doi
43. P.S. Adarakatti, V. Gangaiah, A. Siddaramanna *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **84**: 157 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2018.05.010>
44. H.R. Rajabi, M. Roushani, M. Shamsipur, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **693**, 16 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2013.01.003>