Maps of functions on classical phase space to quantum operators do not preserve the algebraic structure. After locating the algebraic reasons for it, the problem of quantisation is redefined and the Moyal bracket is discussed for its structure preservation. This quantisation entails the inclusion of Schwartz distributions to the space of classical functions.

1. Introduction

Consider the phase space $Z=(q,p)$ of one-dimensional non-relativistic motion. Let $C(z)$ be the set of classical physical observables which are infinitely differentiable functions on $(z)$. Now $C$ is a Lie algebra under the Poisson bracket

$$ (f,g)_{\text{P.B.}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q} . $$

(1.1)

By a quantisation of $C$ is meant the determination of a linear map $E:C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space of state vectors such that

$$ E(f,g)_{\text{P.B.}} = [Ef,Eg] $$

(1.2)

where the right-hand side is the commutator of $Ef$ and $Eg$. This rather old problem is sufficiently battered about by now with regard to its algebraic structure preservation; one concludes (Arens & Babbitt, 1965) that it is not possible to find an $E$ such that

$$ E(f,g) = EfEg $$

(1.3)
where $f, g(z) = f(z)g(z)$ is the pointwise product of $f$ and $g \in C$. Now in retrospect we feel that trying to solve (1.3) for $E$ must indeed be infructuous for the following reason:

In apposition to classical mechanics, physical observables in quantum theory, namely elements of $\mathcal{C}$, are stochastic variables with probability distribution functions which have positive dispersion in general. Suppose we want the joint probability distribution of two stochastic variables to be given uniquely from their marginal probability distributions alone. This is possible if and only if the operators corresponding to these stochastic variables commute (von Neumann, 1955). Hence in a semi-classical description where we try to embed these stochastic variables in a function space of probability distributions in phase space, it is necessary that these functions constitute a non-commutative algebra. But the point product $f \cdot g$ in (1.3) is commutative and even the functions are causal functions. Hence, it looks reasonable to postulate instead an equation such as

$$E(f \cdot g) = EFEG$$

(1.4)

where $f \times g$ is a suitable non-commutative product of classical ‘objects’, whose nature we shall determine in the last section, and $F$ and $G$ are in some way related to $f$ and $g$ respectively.

In fact it is important to note that non-commutativity is not sufficient; in order that $E$ be a homomorphism the algebra should also be associative, because the algebra on $\mathcal{C}$ is associative. For instance, consider the Poisson bracket. It has been proved (Wollenberg, 1969) that it cannot be represented by a commutator over an associative algebra on $C(z)$. It is precisely for this reason that there does not exist an $E$ satisfying the equation (1.2).

Hence we propose, in this paper, to discuss quantisation of such non-commutative associative algebras. We shall first carry out this programme in a general set up and then deal with the Moyal algebra as a particular case, which, in our opinion, is the only relevant one.

2. The General Case

On $C$ define the product

$$f \times g = \int K(z_1, z_2, z)f(z_1)g(z_2)\,dz_1 \,dz_2$$

(2.1)

through a kernel $K \in C$. (Here and hereafter all the integrals are from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$.) For $C$ to represent a dynamical system we must require (2.1) to fulfil the conditions that

(a) $C$ is closed under $\times$;
(b) $\times$ is not commutative in general;
(c) $\times$ is associative.
Then there exists the natural Lie bracket \( \{f, g\} = f \times g - g \times f \) on \( C \) and the mapping \( g \to \{f, g\} \) is a derivation over \( C \).

These requirements on the product naturally throw up sufficient conditions on \( K \) in the form of functional equations (Sriram & Shankara, 1969). Hence for a suitable class of kernels \( K \in C \) for which the corresponding functional equations are solvable, we have in \( C \) a representation of dynamics.

Now we shall determine an algebra homomorphism \( E: C \to \mathcal{C} \); in other words, we shall find a mapping \( E \) such that

\[
E(f, g) = [EF, EG]. \tag{2.2}
\]

Allowing \( E \) to operate on (2.1) we have

\[
E(f \times g) = E \int K(z_1, z_2, z) f(z_1) g(z_2) \, dz_1 \, dz_2
\]

Assume that there exists a factorisation

\[
EK(z_1, z_2, z) = EK_a(z_1, z_2, z) EK_\beta(z_1, z_2, z) \tag{2.3}
\]

where \( K_a, K_\beta \in C \). Then the above equation gives

\[
E(f \times g) = \int EK_a f(z_1) \, dz_1 \int EK_\beta g(z_2) \, dz_2. \tag{2.4}
\]

Now define

\[
F = \int K_a(z_1, z_2, z) f(z_1) \, dz_1 \tag{2.5}
\]

\[
G = \int K_\beta(z_1, z_2, z) g(z_2) \, dz_2 \tag{2.6}
\]

as \( K \)-integral transforms of \( f \) and \( g \) respectively. Then (2.4) assumes the form

\[
E(f \times g) = EFEG. \tag{2.7}
\]

Since \( K, K_a, K_\beta \) all belong to \( C \) the functions \( f \times g, F, G \) also belong to \( C \). Hence \( E \) is a homomorphism of \( C \to \mathcal{C} \). Of course, such an \( E \) automatically ensures also the Lie algebra homomorphism:

\[
E(f, g) = E(f \times g - g \times f) = [EF, EG].
\]

However, the assumed factorisation (2.3) is not unique; indeed any \( EK_a \) which has an inverse determines \( EK_\beta \) and conversely. Hence the mapping \( f \to F \) is one-to-many.
3. Homomorphic Quantisation of the Moyal Algebra†

Now for an example, we shall deal explicitly with the quantisation of the Moyal algebra (Jordan & Sudarshan, 1961). The Moyal bracket is

\[
\{f, g\} = f(z) \sin \left( \frac{\dot{q}}{\dot{p}} - \frac{\dot{p}}{\dot{q}} \right) g(z)
\]

which can further be thrown into a commutator form as

\[
\{f, g\} = f \times g - g \times f
\] (3.1)

where

\[
f \times g = \frac{1}{i} \int \exp \{ i [(q - q_1)(p - p_2) - (q - q_2)(p - p_1)] \} f(z_1) g(z_2) dz_1 dz_2.
\] (3.2)

This is an associative, non-commutative, non-local product.

Now many of the operator assignments are representable as

\[
Ef = \int \exp (i \xi \dot{q} + i \eta \dot{p}) \lambda(\eta, \tau) \exp (i \eta q - i \eta p) f(z) d\eta d\tau dz
\]

where \(\xi, \eta, \tau\) are position and momentum operators respectively and \(\lambda\) is a differentiable function satisfying some boundary conditions (Misra & Shankara, 1968). Integrating the right-hand side we get

\[
Ef = \int f(z) \mathcal{F} \lambda(z - z) dz
\]

\[
= (f * \mathcal{F} \lambda)(z)
\]

where \(\mathcal{F} \lambda\) is the Fourier transform of \(\lambda\) and * is the convolution. When \(\lambda\) is a polynomial \(\mathcal{F} \lambda\) is a distribution. For example Weyl’s rule corresponds to the case \(\lambda = 1\), so that

\[
E_w f = (f * \delta)(z) = f(z)
\] (3.3)

We shall restrict to this rule in our further discussion.

Now the operator corresponding to the product function (3.2) is hence given by

\[
E_w (f \times g) = \frac{1}{i} \int \exp \{ i [(\dot{q} - q_1)(\dot{p} - p_2) - (\dot{q} - q_2)(\dot{p} - p_1)] \} \times
\]

\[
f(z_1) g(z_2) dz_1 dz_2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{i} \int \exp \{ i [(p_1 - p_2)q - (q_1 - q_2)p + (q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1)] \} \times
\]

\[
f(z_1) g(z_2) dz_1 dz_2.
\]

† After this paper was submitted, we received a Texas University preprint by Simoni, Sudarshan and Zaccaria in which the quantisation of non-commutative associative algebras has been solved. They show that apart from trivial transformations, the complex Moyal bracket (with \(\hbar\) complex) is the only solution: the classical Poisson bracket is the limit \(\hbar \to 0\) of the Moyal bracket.
The exponential operator in the integrand of this integral may now be factorised using Baker-Hausdorf formula. Thus

\[ E_w(f \times g) = \frac{1}{i} \int \exp\left[i(p_1 \hat{q} - q_1 \hat{p} + \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_2)\right] f(z_1) dz_1 \times \]

\[ \times \int \exp\left[-i(p_2 \hat{q} - q_2 \hat{p} - \frac{1}{2}q_2 p_1)\right] g(z_2) dz_2 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{i} E_w F E_w G \]

where

\[ F = \int \exp\left[i(p_1 q - q_1 p + \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_2)\right] f(z_1) dz_1 \]  

(3.4)

\[ G = \int \exp\left[-i(p_2 q - q_2 p - \frac{1}{2}q_2 p_1)\right] g(z_2) dz_2. \]

(3.5)

Thus the assumption (2.3) of our hypothesis is satisfied. But the factorisation employed above is not unique; an obviously different splitting is obtained on interchanging the numbers \(q_1 p_2\) and \(q_2 p_1\) in the two exponentials in (3.4) and (3.5).

4. Some Features of This Quantisation

Consider the product (3.2). If \(f\) and \(g\) are both functions of either only \(q\) or only \(p\), it is easy to demonstrate that \(f \times g\) is commutative. It is non-commutative only when there is a mix-up of \(q\) and \(p\) in the product. This is already reminiscent of the quantum situation. Hence, in this quantisation, it would be interesting to seek the classical image of the polynomial operators of quantum theory in particular. For this purpose it would be sufficient to consider the case of the fundamental commutator with \(EF = \hat{q}\) and \(EG = \hat{p}\) which are the traditional position and momentum operators obtained by Weyl's rule. Thus it is required to determine \(f\) and \(g\) corresponding to \(F\) and \(G\). From (3.4) and (3.5) we have

\[ q = \int \exp\left[i(p_1 q - q_1 p + \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_2)\right] f(z_1) dz_1 \]

\[ p = \int \exp\left[-i(p_2 q - q_2 p - \frac{1}{2}q_2 p_1)\right] g(z_2) dz_2. \]

Solving these equations for \(f\) and \(g\) we get

\[ f(z_1) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} q_1 p_2\right) \int q \exp[-i(p_1 q - q_1 p)] dq dp \]

\[ = -i \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} q_1 p_2\right) \delta(q_1) \delta'(p_1), \]
Now these are Schwartz distributions acting on kernel functions belonging to $C$ and not just ordinary functions. Thus we have shown that if quantisation is required to be homomorphic, it will be necessary to represent classical observables by Schwartz distributions when the corresponding quantum observables are polynomials of $\mathbb{R}$. This is a result which completely corroborates the situation in Sudarshan's "Optical Equivalence Theorem" (Sudarshan, 1963). But we shall elaborate on this point elsewhere. As in Sudarshan's theorem, at first sight, the presence of these distributions may appear to give rise to difficulties when their products are involved—a situation also imitating the difficulties in Hermann's quantisation (Hermann, 1965); namely, when he identifies the classical phase space with a subset of the quantum phase space by his quantisation (fractional), powers of the $\delta$-function appear which do not fit in with the standard Hilbert space framework. But here, however, the definition of the product of distributions is valid since their domains are disjoint and the definition always includes the kernels which act as test functions. Thus the results of this section are in precise agreement with our speculations in the second paragraph of the introduction.

**Conclusion**

The multiplication of the base algebra over which the Poisson bracket is defined is non-associative, commutative and local; indeed, it cannot be expressed as a commutator over an associative algebra. The quantum mechanical Lie algebra on the other hand, is exactly its antithesis: namely, its Lie bracket is a commutator over an associative, non-commutative and non-local algebra. It is this situation which forbids any rule of quantisation from preserving the algebraic structure. Hence, if one insists on structure preservation, it is necessary that the base algebra of classical observables is also associative, non-commutative and non-local. In this paper such algebras have been quantised in general and the Moyal algebra is considered as a particular example. The procedure demonstrates that the elements of the algebra be Schwartz distributions acting on kernels which are ordinary functions, but are not ordinary functions themselves. Thus, an homomorphic quantisation enforces a prolongation of the ring of observables on classical phase space to include Schwartz distributions. Hence it would be interesting to derive the actual expressions of these classical distributions corresponding to various density matrices that occur in quantum theory and study them in the light of the Optical Equivalence Theorem.
Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. E. C. G. Sudarshan for his kind comments. We are indebted to Dr. S. R. Valluri, Director, National Aeronautical Laboratory and Dr. K. N. Kunchela, Head of the Physics Department, Bangalore University, for their kind interest. We also thank Sri M. S. Sriram for interesting discussions.

References