Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria mediate induced systemic resistance in rice against bacterial leaf blight caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*
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1. Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the staple food for three fourths of the Indian population. In the global context, India stands first in area with 43.7 million hectares and second in production with 92.24 million metric tonnes (Viraktamath and Rani, 2008). Rice production has intensified to meet consumer demand. The concern to protect the agricultural environment is inevitable because of the harmful effects of excessive use of agrochemicals. Rice diseases have always had a significant impact on rice supply. Considering the large rice production area in the world, even a conservative estimate of 1–5% annual loss would translate into thousands of tonnes of rice and billions of dollars lost. Thus, minimizing the occurrence of disease epidemics and reducing year-to-year losses are central to sustaining rice productivity (Mew et al., 2004).

Bacterial leaf blight (BLB) caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) is a major seed-borne pathogen of rice and is a threat to rice production in both temperate and tropical rice-growing regions, due to its high epidemic potential (Mew, 1987). BLB of rice causes considerable losses in all cultivars of rice in India, particularly in the Punjab state of India (Reddy, 1980).

Currently, the disease is managed through the use of resistant cultivars and systemic bactericides. However, the lack of durable resistance, existence of pathogenic variability, and concerns about chemical resistance has limited the potential of such strategies for managing the disease. Recently, an increasing desire to reduce the pesticides is seen through the attempts to develop integrated pest management approaches, where natural resources are put to...
maximum use. Hence host resistance is given priority in disease control strategy. Biological control through the use of plant growth-promoting bacteria is high on the list of potential alternative tactics (Nelson, 2004).

PGPR are free living, root colonizing bacteria that have beneficial effects on plants. They reduce disease severity and enhance yield of many crops (Liu et al., 1995; Murphy et al., 2000). In the context of the international concern for food and environmental quality, the use of PGPR has been applied to various crops to enhance growth, seedling emergence and crop yield, and some have been commercialized (Herman et al., 2008; Nayaka et al., 2009; Choong-Min et al., 2007; Saravanakumar et al., 2007; Murphy et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2004). Rhizobial inoculants have also been reported to improve nutrient uptake, growth, seedling vigor and yield of rice (Biswas et al., 2000).

PGPR bring about disease suppression by various modes of action such as antagonism, competition for space and nutrients, and induction of systemic resistance (ISR) (Kumari and Srivastava, 1999). PGPR may mediate biological control indirectly by eliciting induced systemic resistance against a number of plant diseases (Jetiyanon and Kloepper, 2002). Application of some PGPR strains to seeds or seedlings has also been found to induce ISR in the treated plants (Kloepper et al., 1999). This phenomenon is known as induced systemic resistance and can be triggered by a variety of biotic and abiotic stimuli (Bostock, 2005). In addition, basal resistance responses that act at the site of pathogen infection, plants are also capable of developing a nonspecific resistance that is effective against pathogen attack.

Selected strains of nonpathogenic PGPR can reduce disease in above ground plant parts through the induction of a defense state that is commonly referred to as rhizobacteria-induced systemic resistance (Van Loon et al., 1998). Induced systemic resistance (ISR)-inducing PGPRs have also been demonstrated to enhance the plant's defense capacity by priming for potentiated expression of defense genes (Kim et al., 2004; Tjamos et al., 2005) strongly suggesting that priming is common feature of PGPR-mediated ISR. Ryu and his associates demonstrated that some PGPR can induce priming by the release of volatiles. For instance, Bacillus subtilis GBO3 induces a signaling pathway that is independent of salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA) and the Npr1 gene (SA insensitive or nonexresser of PR genes), yet it requires ethylene (Ryu et al., 2004). Priming offers an energy cost-efficient strategy, enabling the plant to react more effectively to any invader encountered by boosting infection-induced cellular defense responses (Becker and Conrath, 2007; Conrath et al., 2006).

The increased levels of defense related enzymes during ISR are known to play a crucial role in host resistance (Chen et al., 2000; Schneider and Ulrich, 1994; Ramamoorthy et al., 2002). In addition to improvement of plant growth, PGPR are directly involved in increased uptake of nitrogen, synthesis of phytohormones, phosphate solubilization and production of siderophores that chelate iron and make it available to the plant roots (Lalande et al., 1989; Bowen and Rovira, 1999).

Efforts have been made to use bacterial antagonists like Pseudomonas fluorescens in the management of bacterial leaf blight of rice (Vidhyasekaran et al., 2001). Babu et al. (2003) reported that treatment with acibenzoZ-s-methyl (ASM) induced resistance to bacterial leaf blight in rice. Bacillus spp. including Bacillus amyloliquifaciens, B. subtilis, Bacillus pasteurii, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus pumilus, Bacillus mycoides, and Bacillus sphaericus have been reported to significantly reduce the incidence of disease on a diversity of hosts (Kloepper et al., 2004). Elicitation of ISR by these strains has been demonstrated in greenhouse or field trials on tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.), bell pepper (Capsicum annum L.), muskmelon (Cucumis melo L.), watermelon (Citrus lanatus [Thunb.] Matsum. and Nakai), sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.), tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.), Arabidopsis spp., and cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) against leaf-spotting fungal and bacterial pathogens, systemic viruses, a crown-rotting fungal pathogen, root-knot nematodes, and a stem-blight fungal pathogen as well as damping-off, blue mold, and late blight diseases (Kloepper et al., 2004). In most cases, Bacillus spp. that elicits ISR also elicits plant growth promotion (Kloepper et al., 2004).

The strains selected in the present study have shown to induce resistance against multiple plant diseases (Raupach and Kloepper, 2000; Jetiyanon and Kloepper, 2002; Niranjana-Raj et al., 2003a,b; Murphy et al., 2003; Choong-Min et al., 2007; Udayashankar et al., 2009). However, very few studies have been made on induced resistance in rice. Hence the present study was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of seed treatment with fresh suspensions and their powdered formulations of selected PGPR Bacillus spp. for management of BLB of rice through ISR.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. PGPR strains and inoculum preparation

Seven PGPR strains (B. pumilus INR7, SE34 and T4; B. amyloliqui-efaciens IN937a, B. subtilis IN937b and GB03; Brevibacillus brevis IPC11) were used in the present study. These strains were obtained from Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA (Courtesy: Prof. J.W. Kloepper and Prof. M.S. Reddy). The strains were stored in tryptic soy broth amended with glycerol (20%) at −70 °C prior to use.

Bacterial cell suspensions were prepared by streaking the isolates onto tryptic soy agar and incubating at 27 °C for 24 h to check the purity, then transferring single colonies onto tryptic soy agar plates. After 24 h, the bacterial cells were harvested from plates in sterile distilled water and centrifuged at 8000 g for 5 min (REMIC-24, Bangalore, India). The pellets obtained were resuspended in sterile distilled water and again subjected to centrifugation, and the supernatants were discarded. The pellets were finally collected in sterile distilled water and cell populations were adjusted to 10^8 cfu ml^-1 as measured spectrophotometrically (Thompson, 1996).

2.2. Host

The seeds of the rice cv., IR-64, susceptible to X. oryzae pv. oryzae were obtained from National Seeds Corporation, Bangalore, India and were used throughout the study.

2.3. Bacterial pathogen

Rice seed samples and rice plants showing typical bacterial leaf blight symptoms were collected for isolation of X. oryzae pv. oryzae during a 2006–2007 field survey. The collected rice seed samples and diseased leaves were subjected to liquid assay for detection of X. oryzae pv. oryzae (Mortensen, 2005). From each rice seed sample collected 400 seeds or leaf materials were ground with autoclaved pestle and mortar, suspended in 200 ml of sterile saline for 2 h. The suspensions were serially diluted 4 × 10^3 to 10^6 in test tubes. Aliquots of 0.05 ml were spread onto mXOS (modified XOS agar; Di et al., 1991; Gnannannickam et al., 1994) medium and incubated at 28 ± 2 °C for 3–5 days. X. oryzae pv. oryzae was confirmed by employing specific primers T527F–GCTAAGCCACCTGTTA-3’ and T527R–CGTTCGGCACAGTTG-3’ and sequences such as dx_9 and dx_10 according to Sakhthivel et al. (2001). The amplicons generated by PCR were ligated into pT7Z/R cloning vector using an InstAclone™ PCR cloning kit (Fermentas Life Sciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, transformed into competent cells by the heat shock method. Recombinant plasmid DNA was isolated from overnight grown liquid cultures of selected clones using the Plasmid Mini Prep kit (Sigma–Aldrich) or PCR products were directly sequenced.
The pathogenicity of the isolated X. oryzae pv. oryzae was confirmed by clip inoculation. X. oryzae pv. oryzae was maintained on rice cultivar IR-64 in a greenhouse and on PSA (Agarwal et al., 1989; Sakthivel et al., 2001) and nutrient agar under laboratory conditions. Thirty-day-old rice plants grown in greenhouse with fully expanded leaves were clip inoculated with the sterile scissors dipped in bacterial suspension (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹) (Kauffman et al., 1973). For inoculum preparation, the 36 h old bacterial cultures were multiplied on PSA for 48 h, centrifuged at 5000 x g, and作家s were washed with sterile saline. Cell suspensions were adjusted to 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ (Thompson, 1996).

2.4. Mode of PGPR application

All seven strains were used as fresh suspension and powdered formulations. Assays were conducted separately for each formulation tested. For fresh suspensions, seed of cv. IR-64 were surface sterilized with 2% sodium hypochlorite for 2 min. Bacterization of the seed was achieved by soaking rice seeds in 10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹ PGPR suspensions (100 g/500 ml), prepared as described earlier, and amended with 0.2% sterilized carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) as a sticker. The suspensions were incubated at 26 °C in a rotary shaker at 150 rpm for 6 h to facilitate attachment of bacterial cells to the seed coat. Later, the seed were allowed to dry in an incubator at 30 °C. The seeds treated with sterile distilled water amended with CMC and seeds soaked in distilled water alone served as controls.

PGPR in purified talc and sodium alginate powder formulations were prepared by aseptically mixing 400 ml of the bacterial suspension, prepared as described above, with 1 kg of commercial talc powder (S.D. Fine Chemicals) and sodium alginate powder (HiMedia, Mumbai) separately. The purified talc and sodium alginate powder were autoclaved at 121 °C (115 psi for 20 min) on two consecutive days. This formulated mixture was mixed with 0.2% CMC prior to treating seed. Surface-sterilized rice seed were mixed with the formulation at a rate of 10 g/kg of seed. The seeds treated with sterile talc powder or sodium alginate amended with CMC and seeds treated with talc powder or sodium alginate alone served as controls.

2.5. Effect of PGPR on seed germination and seedling vigor of rice under laboratory conditions

The germination tests were carried out according to the paper towel method (ISTA, 2003) separately for fresh suspensions, talc and sodium alginate formulations. PGPR-treated seeds with fresh and powdered formulations and untreated controls were seeded onto paper towels. One hundred seeds were placed equidistantly on the germination paper presoaked in distilled water and covered with another presoaked paper towel, and rolled up along with polythene wrapping to prevent drying of towels. The rolled towels were incubated for 14 days at 24 ± 1 °C. After incubation, paper towels were unraveled and number of germinated seeds were counted and represented in percentage. The vigor index was calculated by using the formula as described by Baki and Anderson (1973). To assess vigor, the length of the root and shoot of an individual seedling was measured.

The vigor Index was calculated using the formula VI = (mean root length + mean shoot length) x germination (%). The experiment consisted of four replicates of 100 seeds each and the entire experiment was repeated three times.

2.6. Effect of PGPR on growth promotion of rice under greenhouse conditions

Seeds treated with fresh cultures and formulations of PGPR strains along with untreated controls were sown separately in pots filled with sterilized soil, sand and manure at 1:1:1 ratio. Each pot received 25 ml of 1/3 (v/v) strength Hoagland’s solution once a week. Seedlings were raised in greenhouse conditions, watered daily and did not receive additional fertilization. At 30 days after seeding, seedling emergence and plant growth promoting activities (plant height, fresh weight and dry weight) were measured. Each treatment consisted of four replicates of 100 seeds each (25 seeds/pot) and the experiment was repeated three times.

2.7. Effect of seed treatment with PGPR strains on bacterial leaf blight incidence of rice under greenhouse conditions

Seed bacterization, sowing and seedlings were grown as described above Thirty-day-old-seedlings were clip inoculated with sterile scissors dipped in cultures 10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹ of Xoo following the procedures described by Kauffman et al. (1973). The inoculated plants were covered for 24 h with a polythene bag, with care taken that the plants are not in direct contact with the bag. Seeds treated with distilled water + 0.2% CMC, seeds treated with talc + 0.2% CMC and seeds treated with sodium alginate + 0.2% CMC served as control for fresh suspension, talc and sodium alginate formulations, respectively. Seeds treated with Bactomin (antibiotic; 2-bromo-nitropropane 1-3 diol) 2 g/kg of seeds served as a positive control. In each pot, 15 plants were inoculated, and the disease incidence (%) was recorded by counting the number of infected plants 30 days after inoculation. The experiment was repeated three times. The percent protection offered was calculated by formula, (control – treated)/control x 100.

2.8. Induction of defense enzymes by PGPR strains in rice against Xoo

The two Bacillus spp. PGPR strains (B. pumilus SE34 and B. subtilis GB03) which offered the highest levels of protection against BLB under greenhouse conditions were used to study induction of defense reaction in rice seedlings against Xoo infection in greenhouse conditions. PGPR were applied as seed treatment using fresh suspensions. Seed bacterization, sowing and seedlings were raised as described above. Thirty-day-old-seedlings were challenge inoculated with pure culture suspension of Xoo (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹) by clip inoculation method as described earlier. The following treatments were included in the experiment: (1) seedlings raised from untreated seeds (control); (2) seedlings raised from untreated seeds and challenge inoculated with Xoo; (3) seedlings raised from bacterized seeds; and (4) seedlings raised from bacterized seeds and challenge inoculated with Xoo. For each treatment, 100 plants of four replicates were maintained (25 seedlings/pot). The experiment was repeated three times and samples for enzyme assay were collected separately during three repetitions.

Thirty-day-old-seedlings maintained in greenhouse house were used for defense enzymes studies. Plants were carefully uprooted without causing any damage to root and leaf tissues at different time intervals viz., 0, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 h after challenge inoculation. There were eight pots per treatment with 25 seedlings/pot. Fresh seedlings were washed in running tap water, blotted dried and homogenized with liquid nitrogen in a pre-chilled mortar and pestle. The homogenized plant tissues were used immediately or were stored in deep freezer (−70 °C) until used for biochemical analysis. Variation in activity of defense related enzymes, phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL; EC 4.3.1.5), peroxidase (POX; EC 1.11.1) and polyphenol oxidase (PPO; EC 1.14.18.1) was determined by enzyme assays according to Ross and Sederoff (1992), Hammerschmidt et al. (1982) and Mayer et al. (1985), respectively, and the enzyme activity was expressed as mnmoles of cinnamic acid min⁻¹ g protein⁻¹ and change in absorbance min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ of protein, respectively. Each of the enzyme assays were repeated three times.
2.9. Protein estimation

Protein contents of the extracts for all estimated enzymes were determined by using the standard procedure of Lowry et al. (1951) using bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma, USA) as standard.

2.10. Statistical analysis

Data from repeated laboratory and greenhouse studies were analyzed separately and subjected to analysis of Variance (ANOVA) (SPSS Software). The significance of effects of PGPR treatments was determined by the magnitude of F value \((P = 0.05)\). Treatment means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

3. Results

3.1. Pathogen, source, and inoculation

Twenty-three rice seed samples and twelve leaf samples were collected during field survey for isolation of X. oryzae pv. oryzae (data not shown). In surveyed areas, rice plants with typical BLB symptoms viz., leaf blight, wilting (Kresek) and pale yellowing of leaves were collected for isolation of X. oryzae pv. oryzae. The leaf blight symptoms appeared as yellow to white water-soaked stripes along the margins of the infected leaves (Fig. 1). Kresek is a strong systemic infection in which leaves or entire plants wilt and die during the seedling and early tillering stage. Among 23 seed samples and 12 leaf samples subjected to liquid assay method for detection of Xoo, 12 seed samples and three leaf samples recorded small, shiny with light pink centered colonies on mXOS medium. The suspected colonies were sub-cultured on NBY medium and small, shiny with light pink centered colonies on mXOS medium. Arrows indicate progressive development of symptoms. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

![Fig. 1. Seed-borne bacterial leaf blight symptoms on rice caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. Lesions consist of yellow to white water-soaked stripes along the margins of the infected leaves under green house conditions. Arrows indicate progressive development of symptoms.](Image 317x81 to 558x262)

3.2. Effect of PGPR on seed germination and seedling vigor of rice under laboratory conditions

None of the PGPR strains tested as fresh suspension or powdered formulations had any phytotoxic effect on rice seeds/seedlings. The percent germination of rice seeds treated with fresh suspension of different PGPR strains ranged from 77% to 86%. The percent seed germination in the t alc and sodium alginate treatment was between 75% and 82% and 74% and 79%, respectively. The percent germination of control seeds without PGPR treatment was between 70% and 71%. The vigor index of seedlings ranged from 1022 to 1374 for seeds treated with fresh suspensions, 1012 to 1309 for seeds treated with t alc formulations and 996 to 1219 for seeds treated with sodium alginate formulations, compared with 834–850 in the control (Table 1). Among the seven PGPR strains tested, the highest germination of 86% and maximum vigor index of 1374 was recorded for seeds treated with fresh suspensions of GB03 followed by SE34 with germination of 85% and vigor index of 1323. Similarly highest germination and vigor index was also obtained for seeds treated with t alc and sodium alginate formulations of GB03 and SE34 (Table 1).

![Fig. 2. Pathogenicity test: thirty-day-old-seedlings were challenge inoculated with pure suspensions of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae by clip inoculation produced typical bacterial blight symptoms. Arrows indicate progressive development of BLB symptoms.](Image 48x89 to 289x269)

3.3. Effect of PGPR on growth promotion of rice under greenhouse conditions

In general, all the PGPR strains tested as fresh suspensions and powdered formulations showed positive growth responses among all parameters recorded under greenhouse conditions compared with the non-bacterized controls. Specifically all the strains enhanced seed emergence and seedling height compared with the untreated control. The highest germination of 86% and 85% was resulted from seeds treated with fresh suspension of SE34 and GB03 and similar results were obtained with the t alc and sodium alginate formulations compared with untreated control (70%) (Table 2). Similarly seed treatment of PGPR strains SE34 and GB03 with fresh suspensions as well as powdered formulations increased plant height, fresh weight and dry weight over respective controls (Table 3).
3.4. Effect of seed treatment with PGPR strains on bacterial leaf blight incidence of rice under greenhouse conditions

Varied degrees of protection (ranging from 23% to 71%) against bacterial leaf blight disease were induced by PGPR strains applied as both fresh suspensions and powdered formulations. When the test bacteria were applied as fresh suspensions, lowest disease incidence of 22% (71% protection) resulted with the strain SE34 followed by GBO3 and T4, which resulted in 31% and 37% of bacterial leaf blight incidence (58% and 52% protection), respectively (Table 4). Among the powdered formulation, talc formulation treatment with strain SE34 resulted in 26% disease incidence (60% protection) followed by GBO3 and T4 with 37% and 38% blight incidence (52% and 50% protection), respectively. Similarly sodium alginate formulation treatment with strain SE34 and GBO3 resulted in lower disease incidence of 31% and 44% (58% and 40% protection) followed by T4 with 39% bacterial leaf blight (39% protection), respectively in comparison with the non-bacterized controls where the disease incidence was ranged from 75% to 76% (Table 5). The lowest incidence of BLB (11.2% to 11.8%) was recorded with Bactomin treatment.

3.5. Induction of defense enzymes by PGPR strains in rice against Xoo

Studies on induction of defense enzymes revealed that upon pathogen challenge in bacterized rice plants, PAL activity started...
to increase 6 h post pathogen inoculation and reached maximum on the 36 h and 48 h in plants treated with *B. pumilus* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GB03, respectively. Similarly, rice plants inoculated with the pathogen alone recorded slight increase in activity of PAL, but the induction of activity was observed for 24–48 h, thereafter declined gradually. Rice plants treated with *B. pumilus* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GB03 isolates alone also had significantly higher PAL (14.6 n moles of trans-cinnamic acid) compared to untreated control (11.6 nmol of trans-cinnamic acid) but the activity was less compared to challenge inoculated plants (30.9 nmol of trans-cinnamic acid) (Fig. 3A). POX activity was also increased in *Bacillus* isolates treated plants challenged with pathogen. The maximum activity was observed at 48 h in both *B. pumilus* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GB03 treated plants after challenge inoculation and the activity was maintained at higher levels throughout the experimental period. Plants inoculated with pathogen alone had comparatively less POX activity (Fig. 3B). A similar pattern of increased activity of PPO was observed in bacterized rice plants challenged with pathogen and the activity reached maximum on the 36 and 48 h, in *B. pumilus* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GB03 treated plants, respectively after challenge inoculation with Xoo (Fig. 3C).

### 4. Discussion

Our results demonstrate the efficacy of PGPR in promoting growth and inducing resistance to bacterial leaf blight of rice under greenhouse conditions. PGPR are well known inducers of disease resistance and some strains are effective against a broad spectrum of plant pathogen in a number of crop species. PGPR can promote plant growth directly or indirectly. Indirect effects are related to production of metabolites, such as antibiotics, that decrease the plant growth directly or indirectly. Indirect effects are related to control of plant pathogens in a number of crop species. PGPR can promote resistance and some strains are effective against a broad spectrum of pathogens. Use of PGPR appears to favor seedling production, mainly through direct growth promotion, disease control and induced systemic resistance (Kloeper, 1993; Ramamoorthy et al., 2001).

Several researchers have successfully employed *Bacillus* species to control disease development. The bacterial spot disease caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *vesicatoria* was successfully controlled by using three *Bacillus* strains isolated from rhizosphere soil of *C. annuum* L. grown in greenhouses and fields of Turkey. The bacterial spot disease development decreased by 11–62% and 38–67% in pepper plants inoculated with the *Bacillus* strains alone and in combination, respectively, in greenhouse and field experiments. In addition, stem diameter, root elongation, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, and yield increased in response to the treatments in the field experiment (Mustafa et al., 2008). In the present study, all the *Bacillus* strains tested reduced the incidence of bacterial leaf blight, lesion length and plant wilting in PGPR treated plants in comparison to control plants. Among the seven strains of *Bacillus* spp., one strain of *B. subtilis* (GB03) and two strains of *B. pumilus* (SE34 and T4) showed higher levels of disease suppression when applied as seed treatments as fresh suspensions or powdered formulations (when applied at the rate of 10 g/kg of seeds). The strain SE34 offered the highest level of protection of 71% against Xoo followed by GB03 with 58% protection when seeds were treated with fresh suspensions. Strains SE34 and GB03 were unique in that, apart from showing reduction of disease incidence, they also were the best growth promoters. The PGPR treated Xoo inoculated plants did not show wilting or death. The reduction in disease incidence reflected on plant growth. Plant height and total biomass of treated plants were greater compared with non treated ones. These results are relatively similar to those obtained by Bai et al. (2003); they reported that three *Bacillus* strains, *B. subtilis* NEB4, NEB5 and *Bacillus* thuringiensis NEB17 provided increase in nodule number, nodule weight, shoot weight, root weight, total biomass, total nitrogen and grain yield of soybean plants. Raupach and Kloeper (2000) reported that seed treatment of cucumber with *B. amyloliquefaciens* IN937a and *B. subtilis* GB03, resulted in significant increases in plant growth and reductions in disease severity. The present investigation reveals that under in vitro conditions, seed treatment with PGPR strains improves seed germination, seedling vigor, seedling emergence and seedling stand over the control. Similar improvement of seed germination parameters by rhizobacteria has been reported in cereals such as sorghum (Raju et al., 1999) and pearl millet (Niranjan-Raj et al., 2003a,b).

Systemic resistance triggered in the plant by rhizobacteria is referred to as rhizobacterial mediated ISR (Van Loon et al., 1998). ISR is brought about by PGPR's through fortification of the physical and mechanical strength of the host leading to the synthesis of defense chemicals against the challenge pathogen. Prior application of *P. fluorescens* as seed treatment induces various defense mechanisms in the plants (Chen et al., 2000). Ryu et al. (2003) reported that *Bacillus* strains T4 and SE34 elicited ISR against two different pathovars of *Pseudomonas syringae* (pvs. *tomato* and *maculicola*). Strains IN937 and GB03 did not elicit protection against either pathogens, although they demonstrated elicitation of ISR against other host-pathogen systems. Induced systemic resistance in rice seedling is augmented by increased activities of various defense-related enzymes and chemicals in response to infection by pathogen. Growing body of evidence reports that, systemic protection against various rice pathogens resulting from ISR elicited by, among others, *Pseudomonas* spp. (Nandakumar et al., 2001), *Bacillus* (Jayraj et al., 2004) and *Serratia* strains (Someya et al., 2005). However, in most if not all cases, still very little is known about the basic mechanisms governing this ISR response. *Serratia plymuthica* IC1270 induced systemic resistance against various rice pathogens with different modes of infection (De-Vleesschauwer et al., 2009). They also demonstrated that rice plants of which the roots were colonized by the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2 developed an enhanced defensive capacity.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Bacterial leaf blight incidence (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Fresh&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Talc&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sodium alginate&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated control</td>
<td>75&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>76.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75.3&lt;sup*e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bactomin</td>
<td>11.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.8&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus pumilus INR7</td>
<td>50.7&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>54.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>58.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus pumilus SE34</td>
<td>22&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (71)</td>
<td>28&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
<td>31.4&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis GB03</td>
<td>31.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36.7&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44.9&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis IN937b</td>
<td>40.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>48&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (37)</td>
<td>51.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breisbacillus brevis IPC11</td>
<td>44&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (41)</td>
<td>46&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (40)</td>
<td>51.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus pumilus T4</td>
<td>35.7&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (32)</td>
<td>37.5&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37.5&lt;sup*e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens IN937a</td>
<td>51.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>54.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>58&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Percentage of bacterial leaf blight is the mean of three repeated experiments. Means followed by the same letter (s) in a column do not differ significantly according to Duncan's multiple range test at P = 0.05. Figures in parentheses represent percentage protection offered.

<sup>b</sup> Fresh suspensions: each PGPR was applied onto surface-sterilized seed by soaking for 12 h in bacterial suspension amended with 0.2% CMC at rate of 5 ml/g of seed prior to seeding.

<sup>c</sup> Talc and sodium alginate formulations: surface sterilized seed were treated with powdered formulations of PGPR amended with 0.2% CMC at rate of 10 g/kg of seeds.

<sup>d</sup> Sodium alginate formulations: surface sterilized seed were treated with powdered formulations of PGPR amended with 0.2% CMC at rate of 10 g/kg of seeds.

GB03, respectively. Similarly, rice plants inoculated with the pathogen alone recorded slight increase in activity of PAL, but the induction of activity was observed for 24–48 h, thereafter declined gradually. Rice plants treated with *B. pumilus* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GB03 isolates alone also had significantly higher PAL (14.6 n moles of trans-cinnamic acid) compared to untreated control (11.6 nmol of trans-cinnamic acid) but the activity was less compared to challenge inoculated plants (30.9 nmol of trans-cinnamic acid) (Fig. 3A). POX activity was also increased in *Bacillus* isolates treated plants challenged with pathogen. The maximum activity was observed at 48 h in both *B. pumilus* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GB03 treated plants after challenge inoculation and the activity was maintained at higher levels throughout the experimental period. Plants inoculated with pathogen alone had comparatively less POX activity (Fig. 3B). A similar pattern of increased activity of PPO was observed in bacterized rice plants challenged with pathogen and the activity reached maximum on the 36 and 48 h, in *B. pumilus* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GB03 treated plants, respectively after challenge inoculation with Xoo (Fig. 3C).
against infection with *Magnaporthe oryzae*. De-Vleesschauwer et al. (2008), reported that colonization of rice roots with pseudobactin-producing WCS374r bacteria increases cellular defense response, resulting in an enhanced level of resistance against the leaf blast pathogen, *M. oryzae*, and different resistance strategy was found to be associated with priming for a diverse set of hypersensitive response independent cellular defense.

PGPR mediated systemic resistance is often associated with the onset of defense mechanisms by expression of various defense related enzymes such as β-1-3-glucanase, chitinase, PAL, PO, PPO and accumulation of phenols (Meena et al., 2000). Umamaheswari et al. (2009) investigated that watermelon plants pre-treated with bioagents exhibited higher activity of PAL, PO, PPO, β-1-3-glucanase as well as accumulation of phenol upon challenge inoculation with the pathogen.

In the present study, the production of defense related enzymes were examined in attempts to determine their role in resistance reactions to infection, induced by PGPR treatment. Our results

Fig. 3. Influence of seed bacterization with selected rhizobacteria, *B. pumulis* SE34 and *B. subtilis* GBO3 on PAL (A), POX (B), and PPO (C) accumulation in rice plants challenged with or without *Xoo*. (T1) seedlings grown from untreated seeds; (T2) seedlings grown from untreated seeds and challenge inoculated with *Xoo*; (T3) seedlings grown from bacterized seeds; (T4) seedlings grown from bacterized seeds and challenged with *Xoo*. After challenge inoculation rice plants were harvested at different time intervals and analyzed for variation in defense related enzymes. Vertical bars on the line indicate standard error of the means.
clearly showed the increased synthesis of defense enzymes like PAL, POX, and PPO in two PGPR (SE34 and GBO3) treated rice plants after challenge inoculation with pathogen. The increased activities of the defense related enzymes in the PGPR treated rice plants may play either a direct or an indirect role in the suppression of pathogen development in the host ultimately protecting the plants from pathogenic microorganism.

Both PAL and POX play important roles in biosynthesis of phenolics, phytoalexins and lignin, the three key factors responsible for disease resistance (Daayf et al., 1997). Enhanced PAL and POX activity was reported by Ramamoorthy et al. (2002) in tomato infected by Fusarium oxysporum Schlecht. emend. Snyder and Hansen and Pythium upon treatment with P. fluorescens. PAL catalyses the conversion of phenylalanine to trans-cinnamic acid, a key intermediate in the synthesis of salicylic acid (Ryals et al., 1996). In the present study, increased activity of PAL and POX was recorded in rice seedlings grown from seeds treated with B. pumilus SE34 and B. subtilis GBO3 after challenge inoculation with the pathogen.

PPO is a copper containing enzyme, which oxidizes phenolics to highly toxic quinines and is involved in the terminal oxidation of diseased plant tissue, and which is attributed for its role in disease resistance (Kosuge, 1969). Involvement of PPO in ISR mediated by PGPR in cucumber has been demonstrated by Chen et al. (2000). Similarly to other enzymes, PPO activity was induced by two Bacillus strains against the challenged pathogen. Radjacommare (2000) reported that P. fluorescens P1 induced the activities of PPO in rice against Rhizoctonia solani.

Early and increased activity of defense enzymes, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase leads to disease suppression in SE34 and GBO3 treated rice plants in response to invasion by Xoo. The application of these rhizobacteria as seed treatments could prove to be a beneficial component of integrated pest management. These bacteria, apart from their action against bacterial leaf blight pathogen, are good growth promoters, and are able to induce systemic resistance in rice plants, which is an added advantage for practical agricultural system. It is evident that rhizobacteria could possibly serve as ecofriendly and sustainable alternatives to the hazardous chemicals used for growth promotion and management of crop diseases.
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